

SECTION 2 - JURISDICTION & NAME

D. CONDUCT

~~At all meetings of Bows Saskatchewan Inc., the latest revised edition of Roberts Rules of Order shall serve as a guide for the conduct or business.~~

E. NAME

2.1 The Association shall be known as Bows Saskatchewan, Inc. ~~(hereinafter referred to as “The Association”). The Association and~~ may conduct business as ~~under the name~~ “Bows Sask”.

F. ADDRESS

2.2 ~~The area in which Bows Saskatchewan Inc. will shall operate is in throughout the Province of Saskatchewan, -Bows Sask shall exist solely for the governance of its Mmembers and Affiliated Clubs, and shall comply with the relevant policies of BCB and Sask Sport Inc. where applicable, regulate and control all matters related to lawn bowling within Saskatchewan in accordance with the general territorial divisions and regulations of BCB.~~

2.3 The registered office ~~of Bows Saskatchewan Inc, where the business may be conducted,~~ shall be located at such a place ~~in the Province of Saskatchewan~~ as determined by the Board ~~of Directors.~~ ~~The mailing and shall consist of both a physical address and a mailing address in Saskatchewan. of the Association shall be the address of the office that Sask Sport has on file for the Association.~~ Any change to the registered office shall be communicated to the Registrar within 15 days as required by the Non Profit Corporations Act, 2022. ~~The Association shall maintain a current Information Services Corporation (ISC) profile and promptly file all the necessary notices and returns. The mailing address shall be as registered with Sask Sport Inc.~~

G. OTHER JURISDICTIONS

~~Bows Saskatchewan shall operate under the jurisdiction of Bows Canada Boulingrin’s and Sask Sport’s bylaws and rules, where applicable.~~

Commented [A1]: This has been moved to NEW Section 6.4

Commented [A2]: Asked Legal Team if we remove the words “relevant” and/or “where applicable”?

Answer: I recommend keeping both qualifiers (“relevant” and “where applicable”) in Section 2.2. Here’s why:

Practical Reasons

Without these qualifiers, the bylaw would create an absolute obligation to comply with ALL policies of BCB and Sask Sport Inc. This could be problematic because:

Not all policies will apply - BCB and Sask Sport may have policies addressing situations that don't exist within Bows Sask's operations (e.g., policies for sports with different structures, age categories, or competition formats)

Policies may conflict - BCB and Sask Sport could adopt policies that conflict with each other or with Saskatchewan-specific requirements

Future policy changes - You cannot predict what policies these organizations might adopt in the future. An absolute compliance obligation could inadvertently bind Bows Sask to future policies that are inappropriate or impractical

Flexibility for governance - The Board needs reasonable discretion to determine which external policies genuinely apply to your organization's circumstances

Legal Considerations

The qualifiers provide important protection while still demonstrating good faith commitment to align with your governing bodies. The language:

Shows intent to comply where appropriate
Preserves organizational autonomy
Protects against overreach
Is a common and defensible approach in organizational bylaws

Alternative Language (if concerns about vagueness)

If there are concerns about the qualifiers being too vague, you could consider more specific language like:

"...and shall comply with the policies of BCB and Sask Sport Inc. that relate to the governance of lawn bowling in Saskatchewan and are not inconsistent with these Bylaws or applicable law."

However, your current language is clear and appropriate for this context. I support the Board's position to retain both qualifiers.

Commented [MF3]: Source: Section 4-1(5) of the Non-profit Corporations Act, 2022 (Saskatchewan). We've added explicit ISC compliance requirements. This creates clear accountability for maintaining proper corporate records and filings.

Commented [A4]: Covered in 2.2 above